

Leonard J. Cali
Vice President – Law
& Director of Federal Government Affairs

Suite 1000 1120 20th Street, NW Washington DC 20036 202-457-2120 FAX 202-457-3205

April 19, 2002

Electronic Filing
Ms. Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW Room TWB-204
Washington, DC 20554

Re: <u>In the Matter of Review of Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Local Telecommunications Act of 1996</u>, CC Docket No. 96-98

In the Matter of Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability, CC Docket No. 98-147

<u>Applications for Consent to the Transfer of Control Licenses from Comcast Corporation and AT&T Corp., Transferors to AT&T Comcast Corporation, Transferee, MB Docket No. 01-70</u>

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Yesterday, C. Michael Armstrong, AT&T Chairman and C.E.O., David Dorman, AT&T President, James W. Cicconi, AT&T General Counsel and Executive Vice President, and I met with Commissioner Kevin Martin, and his Legal Advisors Dan Gonzales and Catherine Crutcher Bohigian. During that meeting we discussed AT&T's provision of local telephone service over its cable broadband facilities and the extension of cable telephony to Comcast markets that will result from the pending merger of AT&T's cable broadband business and Comcast. We also discussed AT&T's desire to dissolve the Time Warner Entertainment partnership. Finally, we discussed the number of subscribers that the merged entity would serve relative to the total size of the MVPD market. All statements made by the AT&T representatives are reflected in AT&T's written submissions in MB Docket No. 01-70, referenced above.

In addition, we discussed AT&T's local service and local telecommunications facilities beyond its cable broadband distribution facilities. We reviewed the need of AT&T and other CLECs to access UNEs, including UNE-P, to compete in the local exchange marketplace, and the provisioning difficulties associated with unbundled loops. We discussed AT&T's view that competition would be impaired by denying access to existing UNEs. We also noted that the availability of UNEs does not hinder facilities investment, but rather fosters investment. The statements made by the AT&T

representatives are reflected in AT&T's written submissions in the referenced Wireline Competition Bureau proceedings.

I have submitted one electronic copy of this Notice for each referenced proceeding.

A/ Cu

Sincerely,

cc: Commissioner Martin Dan Gonzales

Catherine Crutcher Bohigian